

DIHK contribution to Acer consultation PC_2017_E_02

Contact persons at DIHK

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Answers

Q1: Do you have any concern with respect to the new proposed automatic adjustment rule for PmaxDA and for PmaxID? If so, please explain thoroughly why.

DIHK generally supports the approach that maximum clearing prices should be fixed at a very high level to ensure that technical limits do not hamper free price formation on electricity markets. Functioning energy only markets with peak pricing are necessary to avoid the introduction of capacity markets. The introduction of a more dynamic automatic adjustment mechanism seems reasonable to ensure that technical limits approach the value of lost load as soon as possible.

Q2: Which of the three proposed options for the PmaxDA would have your preference? Please explain thoroughly why.

DIHK has no specific preference. Nevertheless, price limits should be fixed at a high level and be adjusted over time to take into account market evolutions and approach the value of lost load as soon as possible.

Q3: Do you have any concern with respect to the new proposed implementation date? If so, please explain thoroughly why

DIHK generally favours the quick implementation of adopted network codes.

